

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: National Bank of Pakistan
2. Method of Procurement: 36 (b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
3. Title of Procurement: Procurement of Servers (High End Machines) and High Availability Solution (LOT -2)
4. Tender Inquiry No.: NBP/LSG/HES/24082015
5. PPRA Ref. No. (TSE): TS251028E
6. Date & Time of Bid Submission: September 11, 2015 at 11:00 A.M.
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: September 11, 2015 at 11:30 A.M.
8. No of Bids Received: Three (03)
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Mentioned in the attached RFP/Bidding documents.
10. Details of Bid(s) Evaluation:

LOT - 2 (HIGH END SERVER - II):

Name of Bidder	Marks		Evaluated Cost (CAPAX + 1 year warranty) PKR *	Total Evaluated Cost (CAPAX + 3 year warranty) PKR*	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection/Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP, 2004.
	Technical (If applicable)	Financial (If applicable)			
M/s. Techaccess Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd.	N/A	N/A	135,144,142/-	177,171,918/-	Bid accepted as bidder proposal conforms with the specified requirements of RFP
M/s. GBM	N/A	N/A	147,865,010/-	193,961,006/-	Bid accepted as bidder proposal conforms with the specified requirements of RFP
M/s. Infotech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Bid rejected as bidder proposal did not conform to the specified requirements of RFP.

* All costs are inclusive of all applicable taxes

Lowest Evaluated Bidder for LOT - 2: M/s. Techaccess Pakistan (Pvt) Ltd.

11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.
 - Annexure II- Evaluation Criteria

Signature:

Official Stamp:

***Standard Bidding Documents (SBD).**

Evaluation Criteria

PROCUREMENT OF SERVERS (HIGH END MACHINES) AND HIGH AVAILABILITY SOLUTION

Tender ID: NBP/LSG/HES/24082015

The bids/proposals with all complete documents submitted separately on the bid submission date for each lot will be evaluated as under.

1. All Bidders are required to submit filled, correct and complete Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document along their bid for each lot. If the Bidder fails to do so, its bid for the respective lot will be considered as automatically rejected. All Bidders are also requested to affix their company's stamp/signature on the provided Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document.
2. All Bidders are required to propose a single brand/model of servers/solution for each lot to NBP as any alternate or additional brands/models will not be considered for evaluation and such bid will be deemed as rejected.
3. If any Bidder mentions proposes financial details (i.e. price, cost, bid security amount etc.) in its TECHNICAL PROPOSAL or in response to any NBP clarification query during the technical evaluation, its bid will be deemed as rejected.
4. Technical Requirements mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document with “**Mandatory/Feature Required**” for each lot is evaluated as follows:
 - a. For evaluation purpose, a desired response of only ‘Y’, ‘Yes’, ‘N’, ‘No’ is required in the availability column for all technical requirements (mentioned in Annexure III – Technical Requirement Document).
 - b. All technical requirements with “Mandatory” marking must be answered as ‘Y’ or ‘Yes’. If the Bidder responds ‘N’ or ‘No’ against any of such “Mandatory” marking requirement, its bid will be deemed to be technically disqualified and will be rejected.
 - c. For all “Mandatory” marking technical requirements against which Bidder is responding “Y”, the Bidder should specify the proper reference of the proposal in the reference / substantiation column. NBP may request for any other additional documentary evidence against any requirement that must be provided by the Bidder during the period of evaluation. Bidders should respond to such requests within the time frame indicated in the letter/fax/ e-mail seeking the explanation. In the event of a Bidder failing to provide the reference or any information sought/document requested by NBP, its bid will be deemed to be technically disqualified and will be rejected.
 - d. All technical requirements with “Feature Required” marking can be answered as ‘Y’, ‘Yes’, ‘N’, ‘No’ as these requirements will not be considered for evaluation. If bidder responds ‘N’ or ‘No’ against any of the “Feature Required” marking requirement, its bid will not be considered as rejected.
 - e. For all requirements against which Bidder is not providing any response (i.e. an empty availability cell or an availability cell with a response other than “Y”/“Yes” or

'N'/No'), NBP will first check that against such requirements proper reference documents have been provided or not in the submitted bid. If reference document is found then NBP may ask clarification from the bidder about its response, however if reference document will also not found then response of bidder shall be considered as 'No' and its bid will be deemed as rejected.

- f. The Bidders are required to include the price of all requirements with "Mandatory" marking where the response is 'Y' in its Financial Proposal as the price mentioned in Financial Proposal will be considered as final and cannot be increased in any circumstances after the submission of bid.
 - g. "Feature Required" marking requirements that shall be responded "Y" by Bidder shall be treated as complimentary, without any addition in the above mentioned quoted price.
5. The prices proposed by the Bidders will be evaluated on the Servers and accessories cost and 3 (three) years support and maintenance cost for each lot separately. It is at bank's discretion to procure 2nd year and 3rd year support and maintenance services from the bidder for any lot.
 6. Bid from the technically qualified Bidders and the Bidder having the lowest evaluated price/cost will be considered as lowest evaluated Bid and accepted by NBP for the award of the contract.
 7. As per requirement of ITB 31 mentioned in Annexure VI – Standard Bidding Document of RFP, NBP may conduct a post-qualification evaluation exercise for the Bidder which is selected as having submitted the lowest evaluated bid. A negative evaluation will result in rejection of the Bidder's bid, in which event NBP shall proceed to the next lowest evaluated bidder to make a similar evaluation.